

# Investigation of the Copper Penetration and Joint Microstructure Observed in Low Alloyed Steels

Dheeraj Varanasi<sup>1</sup>, Jozsef T. Szabo<sup>2</sup> and Peter Baumli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science, Miskolc University, Miskolc, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Bay Zoltán Applied Research Nonprofit Kft, Miskolc, Hungary

## Correspondence to:

Dheeraj Varanasi  
Department of Materials Science  
Miskolc University, Miskolc, Hungary  
Tel: +36-705404236  
E-mail: femvaranasi@uni-miskolc.hu

**Received:** April 10, 2019

**Accepted:** August 12, 2019

**Published:** August 14, 2019

**Citation:** Varanasi D, Szabo JT, Baumli P. 2019. Investigation of the Copper Penetration and Joint Microstructure Observed in Low Alloyed Steels. *NanoWorld J* 5(3): 36-40.

**Copyright:** © 2019 Varanasi et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits commercial use, including reproduction, adaptation, and distribution of the article provided the original author and source are credited.

Published by United Scientific Group

## Abstract

The present study is aimed at investigation of the microstructural quality of the joint achieved in low alloyed carbon steels. Two steels were used here, C45 (C 0.45%) and 42CrMo4 steel (1%Cr, 0.45%C) with a pure copper foil as the braze material. The experiments were conducted at 1100 °C in an inert gas environment. The results show good wettability and joint formation observed between the substrates. Dissolution of steel grain boundary by copper and the immediate grain separation were observed at the interface. Grooving of the grain boundaries and subsequent channel formation filled with liquid copper was achieved as evident from SEM-EDS micrographs. Besides the microstructure of the joint, penetration depth is also measured as function of time. Depth of penetration is seen varying as function of cube root of holding time

## Keywords

C45 steel, CrMo4 steel, Copper Penetration, Copper wettability, Brazing

## Introduction

Brazing is a simple joining technique used to fasten different components permanently using a combination of thermo-mechanical reactions between the braze filler and the substrate. Brazing is particularly useful in the industries for heavy machinery manufacturing, joint fabrications in heat exchangers, high temperature electronic packaging, etc. The efficiency and the longevity of the joints depend on time and temperature of the process coupled with elemental interplay. Our study focusses on the brazing of low alloyed steels with no or few alloying elements. This gives us an idea as to how holding time effects the joint obtained without any interplay of the alloying elements.

The behavior of copper with Fe/steel was studied by Ishida et al. [1]. The study comprised of molten copper interaction with solid iron. Molten copper wetting of iron was observed in their case with an increase in wetting behavior as function of holding temperature. Yoshida et al. [2] performed experiments with steel/copper/steel system where the steels used varied in their carbon composition. A patent has been registered by Kluczynski ML [3] to join two steels with varying carbon content with Ti plates on both sides. Carbon diffusion was reported to occur from both sides of Ti. Fredriksson et al. [4] study on copper penetration in iron grain boundaries is one of the most influential studies conducted in the field. They modelled a two-step process for the wetting and penetration observed. They successfully modelled the diffusion and penetration of copper into pure iron grain boundaries. Varanasi et al. [5] investigated the behavior of pure copper with C45 medium carbon steel and confirmed a good wettability of C45 by molten Cu.

Grain boundary penetration of copper and vice versa, diffusion of Fe into Cu


is still a major issue in the brazing industry concerning Fe/steel-Cu joints. Benardini et al. and Ribbe et al. [6, 7] have studied the grain boundary diffusion of Fe in pure Cu using a radioactive tracer mechanism. Segregation of Fe at grain boundaries was reported by the group. Yukawa and Sinnott [8] studied the Ni diffusion and penetration into copper. They inferred that the grain boundary diffusion is function of grain groove angle and temperature. The penetration was thought to be the result of grain boundary mismatch. Interfacial segregation of Cu with low carbon steels was reported by Isheim et al. [9].

Brazing of low alloy steels like 42CrMo4 has also been investigated. Li et al. [10] vacuum brazed CrMo steel with TiAl using Ag-Cu/Ti/Ag-Cu as filler braze. An increase in time and temperature lead to an increase in formation of Al-Ti phases in interface. He et al. [11, 12] extensively studied the microstructure of the brazed joints obtained between various ceramic/steels with CrMo steels using Ag-Cu-Ti braze fillers. They found defect free brazed joints between various combinations of steels with CrMo steels when Ag-Cu-Ti-Mo filler is used. The interfacial joint however, consisted of various intermetallic compounds of Cu-Ti-Mo. Divinski et al. [13] investigated the GB melting phase transition in Cu-Bi system. They discovered the segregation of Bi at the Cu GB and formation of a liquid like layer of Bi at GB interface.

The current investigation focuses on the wetting behavior of copper on carbon steels with low alloying elements. The onus is to observe if a low Cr steel has almost no infiltration/penetration of Copper at the grain boundaries. If it did, to what depth does the penetration occur as function of time in comparison to mild carbon steel like C45.

## Materials and Methods

The steel substrates used in the study are C45 and 42CrMo4. The composition of the steels is tabulated (Table 1). Both C45 and CrMo4 steels were cut into the required shape of 10 x 10 x 5 mm samples. The samples are then ground using 180, 240, 360 and 500 grit size papers and later polished with 3  $\mu$  paper using an alumina paste to



**Figure 1:** Sandwich arrangement of the samples placed inside the furnace. We can see two steel substrates and a copper foil arranged to form a sandwich. A small copper piece placed on top of the substrate to indicate copper melting during experimentation.

get the smooth finish. The braze filler used is a 99.99% pure copper foil of 70  $\mu$ m thick, cleaned in an acetone bath and subjected to polishing. The samples are later arranged in such manner that copper foil is sandwiched between the two steel substrates. A steel wire is tightly wound around the samples to hold them in place prior to placing them in furnace (Figure 1).


Experiment was carried out in a vacuum furnace under an inert gas (Ar), at holding temperature of 1373K (1100 °C). The holding times for the experiment were kept at 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 minutes respectively.

## Results and Observations

Post experiment, the samples were allowed to cool down within the furnace. Later, they were taken out, cut and mounted for microstructure investigation. The scanning electron microscopy analysis of the obtained joint was carried out by using Carl Zeiss EVO MA10 equipment. The accelerated voltage of 20KV was used for BSD microscopy of the joint. The microstructure showed good wettability and joint formation in samples of both the steels.

### C45 Steel/Cu/C45 Steel

The composition of C45 steel used is as tabulated in table 1. As we see it is medium carbon steel with C at 0.45 wt%. A good joint can be observed between steel/cu/steel sandwich at all holding times (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** The joint microstructure obtained in a C45/Cu/C45 sandwich system. Copper wetting of the steel interface and formation of good quality joint can be observed. Additionally, copper penetration of the steel grain boundaries can also be seen along with grains of steel separating from the steel boundary at steel/copper interface. Pictures (A-E) are the joint microstructures at 5, 10 15, 30 and 60 minutes respectively.

### CrMo4 Steel/Cu/CrMo4 Steel

Table 1 provides us the composition of the steel used,

**Table 1:** Composition of the steels used in the study.

Steel	Fe	C wt%	Cr wt%	Si wt%	S wt%	P wt%	Mn wt%	Mo wt%	Source
C45	rest	0.45	-	0.3	0.030	0.04	-	-	XRF
42CrMo4	rest	0.40	1.3	0.40	0.030	0.04	0.75	0.30	XRF

with 1% Cr, 0.30% Mo and 0.75% Mn as the major alloying components. Copper showed very good wettability with CrMo4 steel and copper penetration of the grain boundaries was also observed. (Figure 3).

**Penetration depth measurement**

Before going any further, it is important to note that in the first-hand measurements of penetration depth, most of the measurements we perform are on a surface while penetration is a 3-dimensional process. Due to this mismatch, the complete picture is seldom revealed.

For penetration measurements in our study, we have used Image-J image analyzer with SEM images taken from Hitachi series machine. The scaling was done manually prior to the analysis using the set scale option embedded in the software. All the images were converted to 8-bit for the ease of operation with the said software. The length of channels was measured using the length scale and the penetration depth was taken. The sample length measurement was shown below highlighting the penetrated channel in red (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

**Wetting**

The term wetting is defined as the spread of a liquid on the surface of solid material. Depending on the extent of spreading, it is classified as good wetting and bad wetting. Wetting is understood in terms of wetting angle/contact angle  $\theta$  and interfacial energies in play as given by Young.

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\sigma_{sv} - \sigma_{sl}}{\sigma_{lv}} \tag{1}$$

Where,  $\theta$  is the contact angle,  $\sigma_{sv}$ ,  $\sigma_{sl}$  and  $\sigma_{lv}$  are the interface energies of between solid-vapor, solid-liquid and liquid-vapor phases respectively.


It is also characterized by Young-Dupree equation given as below:

$$W_a = \sigma_{sv} + \sigma_{lv} - \sigma_{sl} \tag{2}$$


$W_a$  is the adhesion energy

All other quantities are as previously defined.

Dissolutive wetting is one of the many ways in which wetting can take place in a system. In this case, liquid dissolves a little portion of substrate allowing the liquid to penetrate the substrate grain boundary. Various studies were undertaken on the penetration occurring during dissolutive wetting with Cu and Cu-Si as liquid melts [14-18].



**Figure 3:** Brazed joint obtained in a CrMo4/Cu/CrMo4 sandwich system. Copper wetting of the steel interface and formation of good quality joint can be observed. Copper penetration was also achieved at the steel grain boundaries with Cu interface. Pictures (A-E) are the joint microstructures at 5, 10 15, 30 and 60 minutes respectively.



**Figure 4:** Penetration length as measured by Image-J analyzer software. (A) represents penetration in C45/Cu/C45 system. (B) represents penetration in CrMo4/Cu/CrMo4 system.

Whenever there is complete wetting, there are two processes happening in the system – diffusion and penetration as illustrated in figures 2 and 3. Low alloyed steels like medium carbon steels or low Cr steels have a good wetting property with Cu. The system shows a perfect joint under the experimental conditions. Although this is a general tendency among S-L interactions, grain boundary grooving has been observed furthering the wetting between the steel and Cu melt. Grain boundary wetting occurs when the wetting angle is between 0-90°. The grain groove angles are much lesser than 90°. The diffusion occurs governed by Fick’s law of diffusion. Applying the diffusion laws shows us steel takes not more than

1s for diffusion. The diffusion between copper and steel GB is almost instantaneous and results in spontaneous wetting. The energy balance is negative and driving force free Gibbs energy change  $\Delta G$  is negative. These channels have zero angle grooves at the grain boundaries as observed from SEM pictures figures 2A-E & 3A-E supporting the theory.

### Copper Penetration

Grain boundary penetration of liquid melt follows the diffusion process. After diffusion coupled with dissolution of elements involved, the penetration becomes the next logical step. The drive for penetration comes from the difference in surface energies of the solid-liquid interface and grain boundary. Penetration occurs when grain boundary energy is greater than twice the surface energy of solid-liquid interface [19].

$$\sigma_{GB} > 2\sigma_{SL} \quad (3)$$

Where,  $\sigma_{GB}$  is the grain boundary energy

$\sigma_{SL}$  is the surface tension between solid and liquid phase.

From Brandes and Brook [20], we have  $\sigma_{GB}$  for steel is  $0.88 \text{ J/m}^2$  and  $\sigma_{Fe/Cu}$  is  $0.43 \text{ J/m}^2$ . By substituting the values in equation 3, we see that the above condition is valid in both the cases presented here.

Let us now discuss the formation of channels and the depth of penetration. For penetration let us assume a channel groove formation of length  $L$  and radius  $r$ . Penetration starts at the groove formed between the two grains at the interface and proceeds along the grain boundary into the linear three grain boundary in the bulk of steel. The shape of penetrating channel is difficult to predict as it depends a number of factors, but for consideration the channel shape is assumed to be tubular with a moving tip as shown in Figure 5. The penetration depth analyzed for two steels is shown in Table 2 and Figure 6.





Figure 5: Illustration of the penetrating front and the grooves formed in the steel grain boundary.

The penetration behavior here can be understood in two parts. The behavior at shorter holding times (5 and 10 minutes) and longer periods (15, 30 and 60 minutes). The behavior varied significantly in CrMo4 steel compared to C45 steel as holding time is increased from 5 minutes to 60 minutes. While  $L \propto \sqrt{t}$  is still perfectly valid for C45/Cu system across all holding times, in CrMo4/Cu system, this relation held good only until 10 minutes. Beyond 10 minutes, at longer holding times, the penetration depth increased

exponentially as evident from figure 6.

Table 2: Tabulated data of penetration depth as function of time.

Time (min)	Depth of penetration in C45 steel ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Depth of Penetration in CrMo4 steel ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
0	0	0
5	65.31	44.99
10	89.27	70.66
15	81.82	76.95
30	83.19	87.25
60	90.78	82.733



### Conclusions

The brazing study of low alloyed steels like C45 and 42CrMo4 using Cu as braze filler was conducted at various holding times. Following conclusions can be drawn from the experiments on the joint quality.

- Wettability and joint formation in all steel/Cu/steel systems at all holding times was found to be good. The grain boundary grooving has been achieved showing the good measure of spread and wetting of the surface by copper melt.
- There is grain dissolution from grain boundary of the steel associated with diffusion of steel into copper and consequential grain separation specially at longer holding times.
- This grain dissolution further leads to groove and channel formations in the steel which are later filled with molten copper. The underlying mechanism is discussed.
- This penetration of copper is observed along the length of joint microstructure and the depth of penetration

is measured by image analyzing software. The average depth of penetration for C45/Cu system reaches a value of 90  $\mu\text{m}$  and up to 114  $\mu\text{m}$  for CrMo4/Cu system as the time is increased from 5 min to 60 min (Table 2).

- Penetration depth behavior in C45 and CrMo4 steels vary at holding times higher than 10 min (600s). The penetration behavior follows the usual square root relation with holding time at 5 and 10 minutes in both the steels. But beyond 10 minutes, the penetration in CrMo4 steel increases exponentially, contrary to the square root pattern observed in C45 steel. This is backed by the observed trendline fit.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Dr. Daniel Koncz-Horvath and Dr. Anna Sycheva for their contribution in providing us with microstructure measurements on SEM, and Mrs. Aniko Markus and Mrs. Napsugar Nyari Bodnar for sample preparation. We are also thankful to Innovacios Laboratorium Ltd., (Miskolc, Hungary) for support of Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Hitachi S-4800 equipped with Bruker AXS Energy-dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (EDS) system.

## Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest in regard to this publication as presented research is an original work with original findings. It was submitted only to NanoWorld Journal for peer review and publication with consent of all the authors involved and we reaffirm that this article was not submitted to any other journal.

## Funding Source

The research work presented are based on the results achieved within the GINOP2.3.2-15-2016-00027 “Sustainable operation of the workshop of excellence for the research and development of crystalline and amorphous nanostructured materials” project implemented in the framework of the Szechenyi 2020 program. The realization of this project is supported and funded by the European Union.

## References

- Ishida T. 1986. The interaction of molten copper with solid iron. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 21(4): 1171-1179. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00553249>
- Yoshida T, Ohmura H. 1980. Dissolution and deposit of base metal in dissimilar carbon steel brazing. *Weld J* 59(10): 278-282.
- Kluczynski ML. 1993. International Patent: International Publication Number US5256496, Title: Titanium-steel laminate knife.
- Fredriksson H, Hansson K, Olsson A. 2001. On the mechanism of liquid copper penetration into iron grain boundaries. *Scandinavian Journal of Metallurgy* 30(1): 41-50. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0692.2001.300106.x>
- Varanasi D, Baumli P. 2018. Grain boundary behavior of copper with C45 medium carbon steel. *Resolution and Discovery* 3(2): 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2051.2018.00059>
- Bernardini J, Girardeaux C, Rolland A. 2006. Experimental evidence of iron segregation in copper grain boundaries as deduced from type B diffusion measurements. In: Defect and Diffusion Forum, Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland, pp 161-166.
- Ribbe J, Schmitz G, Divinski SV. 2009. Grain boundary diffusion of Fe in high-purity copper. In: Defect and Diffusion Forum, Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland, pp 211-217.
- Yukawa S, Sinnott MJ. 1955. Grain boundary diffusion of nickel into copper. *JOM* 7(9): 996-1002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03377599>
- Isheim D, Gagliano MS, Fine ME, Seidman DN. 2006. Interfacial segregation at Cu-rich precipitates in a high-strength low-carbon steel studied on a sub-nanometer scale. *Acta Materialia* 54(3): 841-849. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2005.10.023>
- Li Y, He P, Feng J. 2006. Interface structure and mechanical properties of the TiAl/42CrMo steel joint vacuum brazed with Ag-Cu/Ti/Ag-Cu filler metal. *Ser Mater* 55(2): 171-174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scripamat.2006.03.055>
- He P, Feng JC, Xu W. 2006. Mechanical property of induction brazing Ti-Al-based intermetallics to steel 35CrMo using Ag-Cu-Ti filler metal. *Materials Science and Engineering: A* 418(1-2): 45-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2005.11.005>
- He YM, Zhang J, Sun Y, Liu CF. 2010. Microstructure and mechanical properties of the  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4/42\text{CrMo}$  steel joints brazed with Ag-Cu-Ti Mo composite filler. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 30(15): 3245-3251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2010.07.005>
- Divinski S, Lohmann M, Herzig C, Straumal B, Baretzky B, et al. 2005. Grain-boundary melting phase transition in the Cu-Bi system. *Phys Rev B* 71(10): 104104. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.104104>
- Protsenko P, Kozlova O, Voytovych R, Eustathopoulos N. 2008. Dissolutive wetting of Si by molten Cu. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 43(16): 5669-5671. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-008-2814-8>
- Singler TJ, Su S, Yin L, Murray BT. 2012. Modeling and experiments in dissolutive wetting: a review. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 47(24): 8261-8274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-012-6622-9>
- Yin L, Murray BT, Singler TJ. 2006. Dissolutive wetting in the Bi-Sn system. *Acta Mater* 54(13): 3561-3574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2006.03.032>
- Villanueva W, Boettinger WJ, Warren JA, Amberg G. 2009. Effect of phase change and solute diffusion on spreading on a dissolving substrate. *Acta Mater* 57(20): 6022-6036. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2009.08.033>
- Caccia M, Camarano A, Sergi D, Ortona A, Narciso J. 2015. Wetting and Navier-Stokes equation—the manufacture of composite materials. *Wetting and Wettability* 105-137. <https://doi.org/10.5772/61167>
- Straumal BB, Kogtenkova O, Zięba P. 2008. Wetting transition of grain-boundary triple junctions. *Acta Mater* 56(5): 925-933. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2007.10.043>
- Brandes EA, Brook GB. 2013. Smithells metals reference book. Elsevier, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK.